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#### Abstract

We derive an expression for the remainder in divided difference expansions and use it to give new error bounds for numerical differentiation. © 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

There are many applications in numerical analysis of divided difference expansions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] f=\sum_{k=n}^{p-1} c_{k} f^{(k)}(x) / k!+R_{p} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, and throughout the paper, we will assume that $x_{0} \leqslant x_{1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant x_{n}$ are arbitrarily spaced real values and $x$ is any real value in the interval $\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$. We refer the reader to [1] for basic properties of divided differences. Two things are required: evaluation of the coefficients $c_{k}$; and a bound on the remainder term $R_{p}$ in terms of the maximum grid spacing

$$
h:=\max _{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1}\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right) .
$$

[^0]We take as our canonical example the finite difference expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)-2 f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)}{2 h^{2}}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)}{2!}+h^{2} \frac{f^{(4)}\left(x_{1}\right)}{4!}+\cdots+h^{p-2} \frac{f^{(p)}(\xi)}{p!}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $n=2, x_{1}-x_{0}=x_{2}-x_{1}=h, x=x_{1}, p$ is even, and $\xi$ is some number in [ $x_{0}, x_{2}$ ]. The choice $p=4$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)-2 f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)}{h^{2}}-f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{h^{2}}{12} f^{(4)}(\xi) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the well-known error formula for the approximation of the second derivative of the function $f$ by a second-order finite difference, which appears in many books and is used in deriving standard finite difference schemes for secondorder boundary-value problems with $O\left(h^{2}\right)$ accuracy; see, for example, [12] or [6]. The more general expansion (1.2) has been used to derive schemes with higher order of approximation. Analogously, the most general expansion (1.1) plays a basic role in deriving difference schemes for both higher-order equations and non-uniform grids. Schemes for non-uniform grids have been developed and studied by Osborne [8], Doedel [2], and Kreiss et al. [7].

The usual approach to finding the coefficients $c_{k}$ is to use the Taylor series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y)=\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}(y-x)^{k} f^{(k)}(x) / k!+r_{p} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some remainder term $r_{p}$. Applying the divided difference $\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, gives

$$
\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] f=\sum_{k=n}^{p-1}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right](\cdot-x)^{k} f^{(k)}(x) / k!+R_{p}
$$

This, then, provides the coefficients $c_{k}$, which were already found by Steffensen (in [11, Section 76]). He also observed that they can be expressed in the more explicit form,

$$
c_{k}=\sigma_{k-n}\left(x_{0}-x, \ldots, x_{n}-x\right)
$$

where $\sigma_{j}$ is the symmetric polynomial of degree $j$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{j}\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)=\sum_{\tau_{0}+\cdots+\tau_{n}=j} \delta_{0}^{\tau_{0}} \cdots \delta_{n}^{\tau_{n}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first examples of $c_{k}$ are therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=1, \quad c_{n+1}=\sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left(x_{i}-x\right), \quad c_{n+2}=\sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n}\left(x_{i}-x\right)\left(x_{j}-x\right) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As regards a bound on the remainder term $R_{p}$, the following theorem is known in many special cases. We will assume that the function $f$ belongs to $C^{p}\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$ and we denote by $\|$.$\| the max norm over \left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$.

Theorem 1. There exists a constant $C$, depending only on $n$ and $p$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{p}\right| \leqslant C h^{p-n}| | f^{(p)}| | . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider, for example, the special case in which the data points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are uniformly spaced, i.e., such that $x_{i+1}-x_{i}=h$ (as in Eq. (1.2)), though $x \in\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$ may be arbitrary. Then the theorem is well known and easily established using the simple derivative remainder term

$$
r_{p}=(y-x)^{p} f^{(p)}\left(\xi_{y}\right) / p!
$$

in the Taylor expansion (1.4), where $\xi_{y}$ is some number between $x$ and $y$. This is because the application of the divided difference $\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ to (1.4) only involves division by differences of the form $x_{j}-x_{i}$. In the uniform case, all such differences are multiples of $h$, namely $(j-i) h$.

Also in the case $p=n+1$, with $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ arbitrary, Theorem 1 is known, and was proved by Isaacson and Keller [5]. Their proof uses the fact that the remainder $R_{n+1}$ is the $n$th derivative of the error in interpolating $f$ by a Lagrange (or Hermite) polynomial of degree $n$ at the points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ (up to a factor of $n!$ ).

The question of whether the theorem holds in general seems less straightforward however. One of the purposes of this paper is to give a simple proof of Theorem 1 in full generality, by deriving a new formula for the remainder $R_{p}$. We further show that when $p-n$ is even, the remainder can be expressed in a form similar to that of (1.2).

Theorem 2. When $p-n$ is even, there exists $\xi \in\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$ such that

$$
R_{p}=\sigma_{p-n}\left(x_{0}-x, \ldots, x_{n}-x\right) f^{(p)}(\xi) / p!.
$$

We remark that Steffensen (in [11, Section 76]) proved that the remainder $R_{p}$ has this form for all $p$ in the case that $x$ lies outside the interval $\left(x_{0}, x_{n}\right)$.

Finally, we study the important case $p=n+2$, which occurs frequently in finite difference schemes. The reason is that if $x$ is chosen to be the average

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}=\frac{x_{0}+\cdots+x_{n}}{n+1} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the coefficient $c_{n+1}$ in (1.6) is zero, so that

$$
\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] f=f^{(n)}(\bar{x}) / n!+R_{n+2} .
$$

Since Theorem 1 shows that $\left|R_{n+2}\right| \leqslant C h^{2}| | f^{(n+2)} \|$, the divided difference $\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] f$ offers a higher-order approximation to $f^{(n)} / n$ ! at the point $\bar{x}$. This enables finite difference schemes on non-uniform grids to be designed with $O\left(h^{2}\right)$ truncation error and therefore $O\left(h^{2}\right)$ convergence; see [2,7].

Due to Theorem 2, we prove a more precise result.

Theorem 3. With $\bar{x}$ as in (1.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n!\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] f-f^{(n)}(\bar{x})\right| \leqslant \frac{n}{24} h^{2}| | f^{(n+2)} \|, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are uniformly spaced, the constant $n / 24$ is the least possible.
We complete the paper with some examples.

## 2. New remainder formula

Consider what happens if we use one of the more precise remainder terms in the Taylor series (1.4). For example, if we use the divided difference remainder,

$$
r_{p}=(y-x)^{p}[y, \underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{p}] f,
$$

then, using the Leibniz rule, we get in (1.1) the remainder formula

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{p} & =\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right](\cdot-x)^{p}[\cdot, \underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{p}] f \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left[x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right](\cdot-x)^{p}[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}, \underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{p}] f \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sigma_{p-n+i}\left(x_{i}-x, \ldots, x_{n}-x\right)[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}, \underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{p}] f . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

However, this remainder formula is not useful for us because it involves divided differences of $f$ of all orders from $p$ to $p+d$, which in general will not be well defined for $f \in C^{p}\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$.

The other well known remainder for the Taylor expansion (1.4) is the integral one,

$$
r_{p}=\frac{1}{(p-1)!} \int_{x}^{y}(y-s)^{p-1} f^{(p)}(s) d s
$$

Applying $\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ will give an expression for $R_{p}$, and by introducing truncated powers, this can be reformulated in terms of a kernel. A kernel approach was used by both Howell [4] and Shadrin [10] to give a more precise bound than Isaacson and Keller [5] on $R_{n+1}$. However, Theorem 1 can be established using purely elementary properties of divided differences, and without kernels. In Section 5 we show that also Howell and Shadrin's bound on $R_{n+1}$ follows from simple divided difference properties.

In fact we abandon the Taylor series altogether and derive a new formula for $R_{p}$, in terms of divided differences, in the spirit of the remainder formulas for Lagrange interpolation derived independently by Dokken and Lyche [3] and Wang [13].

Lemma 1. With $\delta_{i}=x_{i}-x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{p}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta_{i} \sigma_{p-n-1}\left(\delta_{i}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}, \underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{p-i}] f . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula is better than (2.1) because it only involves divided differences of $f$ of the same order $p$. Note also that though the formula is not symmetric in the points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$, it holds for any permutation of them, an observation we take advantage of when proving Theorem 2.

Proof. The case $p=n+1$ is a special case of the remainder formula of Dokken and Lyche [3] and Wang [13]. Dokken and Lyche argue that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] f } & =[\underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{n+1}] f+(\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] f-[\underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{n+1}] f) \\
& =\frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!}+\sum_{i=0}^{n}([x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}, \underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{n-i}] f-[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, \underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{n-i+1}] f) \\
& =\frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!}+\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x\right)[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}, \underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{n-i+1}] f .
\end{aligned}
$$

We prove (2.2) in general by induction on $p$. We assume (2.2) holds for $p>n$ and show that it also holds for $p+1$. Indeed, recalling Eq. (1.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{p}= & \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\sigma_{p-n}\left(\delta_{i}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)-\sigma_{p-n}\left(\delta_{i+1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)\right)[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}, \underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{p-i}] f \\
= & \sigma_{p-n}\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right) \frac{f^{(p)}(x)}{p!}+\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sigma_{p-n}\left(\delta_{i}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right) \\
& \times([x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}, \underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{p-i}] f-[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, \underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{p+1-i}] f) \\
= & \sigma_{p-n}\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right) \frac{f^{(p)}(x)}{p!}+R_{p+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Interestingly, the above proof derives both the remainder $R_{p}$ and the coefficients $c_{k}$ of expansion (1.1), without using a Taylor series.

Proof of Theorem 1. This follows from Lemma 1 and the fact that $\left|\delta_{i}\right| \leqslant n h$. In fact the constant $C$ in (1.7) can be taken to be $n^{p-n} /(n!(p-n)!)$, because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{p}\right| & \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left|\delta_{i}\right| \sigma_{p-n-1}\left(\left|\delta_{i}\right|, \ldots,\left|\delta_{n}\right|\right)| | f^{(p)} \| / p! \\
& =\sigma_{p-n}\left(\left|\delta_{0}\right|, \ldots,\left|\delta_{n}\right|\right)| | f^{(p)} \| / p!
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leqslant \sigma_{p-n}(n h, \ldots, n h)\left\|f^{(p)}\right\| / p!=\binom{p}{n} n^{p-n} h^{p-n}| | f^{(p)} \| / p!
$$

We turn next to Theorem 2 and begin with a basic property of the polynomials $\sigma_{j}$.
Lemma 2. If $j \geqslant 1$ is odd, any set of real values $\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}$ can be permuted so that the $n+1$ products

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{0} \sigma_{j}\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right), \delta_{1} \sigma_{j}\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right), \ldots, \delta_{n} \sigma_{j}\left(\delta_{n}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are simultaneously non-negative.
Proof. We start with the first term and consider two possible cases. If $\sigma_{j}\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right) \geqslant 0$, then at least one of the $\delta_{i}$ must be non-negative. Indeed, if all the $\delta_{i}$ were negative, then $\sigma_{j}\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$ would also be negative, due to $j$ being odd in (1.5). We can therefore permute $\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}$ so that $\delta_{0}$ is non-negative, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{0} \sigma_{j}\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $\sigma_{j}\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right) \leqslant 0$, then at least one of the $\delta_{i}$ must be non-positive, in which case we choose $\delta_{0}$ to be non-positive, so that inequality (2.4) holds again.

We continue in this way, next choosing $\delta_{1}$ from the remaining values $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}$ to ensure that the second term in (2.3) is non-negative, and so on. The last term is trivially non-negative because

$$
\delta_{n} \sigma_{j}\left(\delta_{n}\right)=\sigma_{j+1}\left(\delta_{n}\right)=\delta_{n}^{j+1} \geqslant 0
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Since $p-n-1$ is odd, Lemma 2 implies the existence of a permutation of the points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ such that the $n+1$ coefficients $\delta_{i} \sigma_{p-n-1}\left(\delta_{i}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$ in Eq. (2.2) are simultaneously non-negative. The result then follows from the Mean Value Theorem and the observation that the coefficients sum to $\sigma_{p-n}\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$.

Note that the above analysis implies that $\sigma_{j}\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$ is non-negative for any real values $\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}$ when $j$ is even, but this is well known and follows from the fact that $\sigma_{j}\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)=\binom{n+j}{j} \xi^{j}$ for some point $\xi$ in the interval containing the $\delta_{i}$ (see [11, Eq. (41)]).

## 3. Optimal error bounds

We next consider Theorem 3. Like Theorem 2, it follows from an elementary property of the symmetric polynomials $\sigma_{j}$ in (1.5).

Lemma 3. If $\delta_{0}+\cdots+\delta_{n}=0$, then

$$
\sigma_{2}\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2(n+1)} \sum_{0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(\delta_{j}-\delta_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

Proof. This follows from the two identities

$$
\sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n}\left(\delta_{j}-\delta_{i}\right)^{2}=(n+2) \sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta_{i}^{2}-2 \sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n} \delta_{i} \delta_{j},
$$

and

$$
0=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta_{i}\right)^{2}=-\sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta_{i}^{2}+2 \sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n} \delta_{i} \delta_{j}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3. Putting $x=\bar{x}$ and $p=n+2$ in expansion (1.1), Theorem 2 implies

$$
\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] f=f^{(n)}(\bar{x}) / n!+\sigma_{2}\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right) f^{(n+2)}(\xi) /(n+2)!.
$$

So Lemma 3 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] f-f^{(n)}(\bar{x})=\frac{1}{2(n+1)^{2}(n+2)} \sum_{0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(x_{j}-x_{i}\right)^{2} f^{(n+2)}(\xi) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (1.9) now results from the observation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}\left(x_{j}-x_{i}\right)^{2} \leqslant \sum_{0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}(j-i)^{2} h^{2}=\frac{n(n+1)^{2}(n+2)}{12} h^{2} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the uniform case, $x_{i+1}-x_{i}=h$, inequality (3.2) becomes an equality, so that Eq. (3.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta^{n} f\left(x_{0}\right)}{h^{n}}-f^{(n)}(\bar{x})=\frac{n}{24} h^{2} f^{(n+2)}(\xi) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{x}=\left(x_{0}+x_{n}\right) / 2$ and $\Delta^{n} f\left(x_{0}\right)$ denotes the $n$th order finite difference

$$
\Delta^{n} f\left(x_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\binom{n}{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

So if we set $f(x)=x^{n+2}$, error bound (1.9) becomes an equality.

## 4. Examples

Though Theorem 3 gives a simple error bound for non-uniformly spaced points, better bounds can be derived for specific configurations of $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ by going back to the exact Eq. (3.1). For example, in the simplest non-uniform case, namely $n=2$,

Eq. (3.1) reduces to

$$
2\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right] f-f^{\prime \prime}(\bar{x})=\frac{1}{36}\left(h_{0}^{2}+h_{0} h_{1}+h_{1}^{2}\right) f^{(4)}(\xi),
$$

where $h_{0}=x_{1}-x_{0}$ and $h_{1}=x_{2}-x_{1}$. Various approaches have been used to show that the approximation $2\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right] f$ to $f^{\prime \prime}(\bar{x})$ is of order $O\left(h^{2}\right)$, see, for example, Samarskii et al. [9], but the above exact formula appears to be new. Taking for example the Hermite case $x_{2}=x_{1}$, so that $h=h_{0}$ and $h_{1}=0$, then with $\bar{x}=$ $\left(x_{0}+2 x_{1}\right) / 3$, we get the optimal error bound

$$
\left|2\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{1}\right] f-f^{\prime \prime}(\bar{x})\right| \leqslant \frac{h^{2}}{36}| | f^{(4)} \| .
$$

Another example could be the case $h=h_{0}=2 h_{1}$, giving the optimal bound

$$
\left|2\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right] f-f^{\prime \prime}(\bar{x})\right| \leqslant \frac{7}{144} h^{2}| | f^{(4)} \| .
$$

When the points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are uniformly spaced, error formula (3.3) is known for $n=1,2$, but appears to be new for $n \geqslant 3$. The case $n=2$ reduces to Eq. (1.3). The case $n=3$ gives a new error formula for a well-known approximation,

$$
\frac{-f\left(x_{0}\right)+3 f\left(x_{1}\right)-3 f\left(x_{2}\right)+f\left(x_{3}\right)}{h^{3}}-f^{(3)}(\bar{x})=\frac{h^{2}}{8} f^{(5)}(\xi)
$$

with $\bar{x}=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) / 2$. The case $n=4$ gives the new error formula

$$
\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)-4 f\left(x_{1}\right)+6 f\left(x_{2}\right)-4 f\left(x_{3}\right)+f\left(x_{4}\right)}{h^{4}}-f^{(4)}\left(x_{2}\right)=\frac{h^{2}}{6} f^{(6)}(\xi) .
$$

## 5. Howell and Shadrin's error bound

Shadrin [10] has shown that if $p_{n}$ denotes the polynomial of degree $n$ interpolating $f$ at the points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$, then for $k=0,1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\left|p_{n}^{(k)}(x)-f^{(k)}(x)\right| \leqslant\left\|\psi_{n}^{(k)}\right\| \frac{\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|}{(n+1)!}
$$

where

$$
\psi_{n}(x)=\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right) .
$$

This bound was earlier conjectured by Howell [4] who also proved it for the highest derivative $k=n$. Both Howell and Shadrin used kernels and properties of B-splines to establish the case $k=n$. We now offer an elementary proof using the simple remainder formula of Dokken, Lyche, and Wang,

$$
p_{n}^{(n)}(x)-f^{(n)}(x)=n!\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x\right)[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}, \underbrace{x, \ldots, x}_{n-i+1}] f .
$$

Note that since $\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left|x_{i}-x\right|$ is a convex function of $x$, its maximum value in the interval $\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$ is attained at one of the end points, where it agrees with $\left|\psi^{(n)}(x)\right| / n!$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|p_{n}^{(n)}(x)-f^{(n)}(x)\right| & \leqslant n!\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left|x_{i}-x\right| \frac{| | f^{(n+1)} \|}{(n+1)!} \\
& \leqslant n!\max \left\{\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left|x_{i}-x_{0}\right|, \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left|x_{i}-x_{n}\right|\right\} \frac{\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|}{(n+1)!} \\
& =\max \left\{\left|\psi_{n}^{(n)}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|,\left|\psi_{n}^{(n)}\left(x_{n}\right)\right|\right\} \frac{\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|}{(n+1)!} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\psi_{n}^{(n)}\right\| \frac{\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|}{(n+1)!}
\end{aligned}
$$
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